



# UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE  
United States Patent and Trademark Office  
Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS  
P.O. Box 1450  
Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450  
[www.uspto.gov](http://www.uspto.gov)

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
09/586,064	06/02/2000	George T. Hutchings	GIC-599	6333

7590                    11/21/2003

Barry R Lipsitz  
Law Offices of Barry R Lipsitz  
755 Main Street Building No 8  
Monroe, CT 06468

EXAMINER

TRAN, TONGOC

ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
2134	

DATE MAILED: 11/21/2003

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

SK

<b>Office Action Summary</b>	<b>Application No.</b>	<b>Applicant(s)</b>	
	09/586,064	HUTCHINGS ET AL.	
	<b>Examiner</b> Tongoc Tran	<b>Art Unit</b> 2134	

-- The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address --

#### Period for Reply

A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS SET TO EXPIRE 3 MONTH(S) FROM THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION.

- Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a reply be timely filed after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.
- If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a reply within the statutory minimum of thirty (30) days will be considered timely.
- If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.
- Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, cause the application to become ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133).
- Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing date of this communication, even if timely filed, may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).

#### Status

- 1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on 02 June 2000.
- 2a) This action is FINAL.                  2b) This action is non-final.
- 3) Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under *Ex parte Quayle*, 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.

#### Disposition of Claims

- 4) Claim(s) 1-28 is/are pending in the application.
- 4a) Of the above claim(s) \_\_\_\_\_ is/are withdrawn from consideration.
- 5) Claim(s) \_\_\_\_\_ is/are allowed.
- 6) Claim(s) 1-20 and 24-28 is/are rejected.
- 7) Claim(s) 21-23 is/are objected to.
- 8) Claim(s) \_\_\_\_\_ are subject to restriction and/or election requirement.

#### Application Papers

- 9) The specification is objected to by the Examiner.
- 10) The drawing(s) filed on \_\_\_\_\_ is/are: a) accepted or b) objected to by the Examiner.  
Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).
- 11) The proposed drawing correction filed on \_\_\_\_\_ is: a) approved b) disapproved by the Examiner.  
If approved, corrected drawings are required in reply to this Office action.
- 12) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner.

#### Priority under 35 U.S.C. §§ 119 and 120

- 13) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).
- a) All b) Some \* c) None of:
1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.
2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No. \_\_\_\_\_.
3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).
- \* See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.
- 14) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domestic priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(e) (to a provisional application).
- a) The translation of the foreign language provisional application has been received.
- 15) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domestic priority under 35 U.S.C. §§ 120 and/or 121.

#### Attachment(s)

- |  |  |
|--|--|
| 1) <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)                                    | 4) <input type="checkbox"/> Interview Summary (PTO-413) Paper No(s). _____ . |
| 2) <input type="checkbox"/> Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)                           | 5) <input type="checkbox"/> Notice of Informal Patent Application (PTO-152)  |
| 3) <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449) Paper No(s) <u>4,5</u> . | 6) <input type="checkbox"/> Other: _____ .                                   |

## DETAILED ACTION

1. This office action is in response to applicants' application serial no. 09/586064 filed on 6/2/2000.

### ***Information Disclosure Statement***

2. The information disclosure statement (IDS) submitted on 9/22/2000 and 10/15/2001 has been considered by the examiner.

### ***Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103***

3. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:

(a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negated by the manner in which the invention was made.

Claims 1-6, 10-14, 16, 18-24 and 26-28 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Son et al. (U.S. Patent No. 6,229,895 hereinafter Son) in view of Fruehauf et al. (U.S. Patent No. 6,590981 hereinafter Fruehauf).

In respect to claim 1, Son discloses a method for enabling a primary conditional access provider (CAP) and at least one secondary CAP to provide conditional access (CA) data in respective different formats to control access to at least one data service, comprising the steps of (see Fig. 1-6):

(a) providing, at the primary CAP, first CA data in a first format for encrypting the at least one data service during a plurality of successive crypto-periods, and time data for identifying the successive crypto-periods (see Fig. 1-6 and col. 5, lines 1-35);

Art Unit: 2134

(b) providing the first CA data and the time data from the primary CAP to the at least one secondary CAP; wherein the at least one secondary CAP is responsive to the first CA data and time data for providing second CA data in a different, second format for the successive crypto-periods (see col. 5, lines 15-35); and

(c) providing a data stream comprising the at least one encrypted data service and first and second CA data to user terminals, including at least a first user terminal that is compatible with the first CA data, and a second user terminal that is compatible with the second CA data (see col. 5, lines 35-50). Son does not explicitly disclose providing a time data for identifying the successive crypto-period. However, Fruehauf discloses a time data for identifying the successive crypto-period (see col. 6, lines 39-59).

Therefore, it would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to incorporate Son's teaching of providing at the primary CAP first CA data with Fruehauf's teaching of providing a time data for identifying the successive crypto-period for the purpose of determining when the synchronization time need to be readjusted if the cryptographic processing time exceed a predetermined limit (see Fruehauf col. 6, lines 53-59).

In respect to claim 2, Son and Fruehauf disclose the method of claim 1. Fruehauf further teaches wherein the time data indicates respective start times of the crypto-periods (see col. 6, lines 39-59, at any given time).

In respect to claim 3, Son and Fruehauf disclose the method of claim 2. Fruehauf further discloses wherein the time data designates absolute times of the crypto-periods (see col. 6, lines 39-59, a predetermined limit).

In respect to claim 4, Son and Fruehauf disclose the method of claim 2. Fruehauf further discloses wherein the time data designates relative times of the crypto-periods in relation to a reference time of the at least one data service (see col. 6, lines 39-59, at any given time).

In respect to claim 5, Son and Fruehauf disclose the method of claim 1. Fruehauf further discloses wherein the time data is a lead time of at least one crypto-period (see col. 6, lines 39-59, at any given time).

In respect to claim 6, Son and Fruehauf disclose the method of claim 5. Fruehauf further discloses wherein the lead time is responsive to a required processing time of the at least one secondary CAP (see col. 6, lines 39-59, at any given time).

In response to claim 10, Son and Fruehauf disclose the method of claim 1. Son further discloses the first CA data is streamed in real-time from the primary CAP to the at least one secondary CAP without being requested therefrom (see col. 3, lines 21-47).

In respect to claim 11, Son and Fruehauf disclose the method of claim 10. Son further discloses wherein the at least one secondary CAP provides its second CA data essentially in real-time after receipt of the first CA data and time data thereat (see col. 3, lines 37-47).

In respect to claim 12, Son and Fruehauf disclose the method of claim 1. Son further discloses comprising the further steps of storing the first and second synchronized CA data and the at least one encrypted data service for subsequent retrieval to provide said data stream (see col. 3, lines 42-47). Fruehauf further discloses synchronizing the first

CA data and the second CA data with the at least one encrypted data service (see col. 6, lines 39-59).

In respect to claim 13, Son and Fruehauf disclose the method of claim 12. Son further discloses comprising the further step of retrieving the first and second synchronized CA data and the at least one encrypted data service to provide said data stream in response to a user request (see col. 3, lines 49-59).

In response to claim 14, Son and Fruehauf disclose the method of claim 13. Son further discloses wherein the user request is provided as part of a video-on -demand service (see col. 4, lines 49-59).

In response to claim 16, Son and Fruehauf disclose the method of claim 1. Son further discloses wherein the first CA data is provided from the primary CAP to the at least one secondary CAP via a CA data delivery network (see col. 2, lines 1-30, cable distribution network).

In respect to claim 18, Son and Fruehauf disclose the method of claim 1. Son further discloses wherein the first and second CA data are provided to a message insertion subsystem in-band with the encrypted data service to form said data stream (see col. 2, lines 1-30, cable distribution network).

In response to claim 19, Son and Fruehauf disclose the method of claim 1. Son further discloses wherein the first CA data and the time data are provided from the primary CAP to a plurality of secondary CAPs, each of which is responsive to the first CA data for providing CA data in different, respective formats for the successive crypto-periods (see col. 5, lines 1-35); the data stream comprises the CA data in the different,

Art Unit: 2134

respective formats; and the user terminals include respective user terminals that are compatible with the different, respective formats (see col. 5, lines 36-50).

In respect to claim 20, Son and Fruehauf disclose the method of claim 1. Son further discloses wherein the first CA data and encrypted data service are provided in a first data stream from the primary CAP to the at least one secondary CAP for insertion of the second CA data, and a corresponding second data stream is returned to the primary CAP for formation of said data stream that is provided to the user terminals (see col. 5, lines 1-56).

Claims 21-23 are objected to as being dependent upon a rejected base claim, but would be allowable if rewritten in independent form including all of the limitations of the base claim and any intervening claims.

In respect to claim 24, Son and Fruehauf disclose the method of claim 20. Son further discloses wherein the second data stream is formed by overwriting the first CA data with corresponding second CA data in corresponding packets of the first data stream (see col. 5, lines 5-15).

In response to claim 26, Son and Fruehauf disclose the method of claim 1. Son further discloses wherein the primary CAP first and at least one secondary CAPS are provided at a headend (see col. 2, lines 40-48).

In respect to claim 27, Son and Fruehauf disclose the method of claim 1. Son further discloses wherein the first CA data is provided from the primary CAP to the at least one secondary CAP in an encrypted form, comprising the further step of: providing data to

the at least one secondary CAP for decrypting the encrypted first CA data (see col. 3, lines 35-40).

In respect to claim 28, the claim limitations is an apparatus claim that is substantially similar to method claim 1 and therefore the same rejection applied.

4. Claim 7 and 8 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Son et al. (U.S. Patent No. 6,229,895 hereinafter Son) in view of Fruehauf et al. (U.S. Patent No. 6,590981 hereinafter Fruehauf) as applied to claim 6 above, and further in view of Okamoto et al. (U.S. Patent No. 5,944,794 hereinafter Okamoto).

In respect to claim 7, Son and Fruehauf disclose the method of claim 5. Son further discloses wherein the first CA data is provided to the at least one secondary CAP in successive packets, each packet comprising first CA data and time data for a plurality of crypto-periods (see col. 3, line 49-col. 4, line 10). Both Son and Fruehauf do not explicitly disclose transmitting time data for a plurality of crypto-period. However, Okamoto discloses transmitting time data for a plurality of crypto-period (see col. 15, lines 1-8 and col. 22, line 66-col. 23, line 13). Therefore, it would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to incorporate the Son's teaching of transmitting CA data from primary CAP to secondary CAP with Okamoto's teaching of including time data to carry out authentication process for the benefit of judging the success of an authentication process.

In respect to claim 8, Son and Fruehauf disclose the method of claim 7. Son further disclose wherein the plurality of crypto-periods comprise a current crypto-period and future crypto-periods (see col. 3, lines 49-65).

5. Claims 9, 15, 17 and 25 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Son et al. (U.S. Patent No. 6,229,895 hereinafter Son) in view of Fruehauf et al. (U.S. Patent No. 6,590981 hereinafter Fruehauf) as applied to claim 6 above, and further in view of Pinder et al. (U.S. Patent No. 6,105,134 hereinafater Pinder).

In response to claim 9, Son and Fruehauf disclose the method of claim 1. Both Son and Fruehauf do not explicitly disclose wherein the first CA data comprises a control word for each of the crypto-periods. However, Pinder discloses using control words as a key for each of the crypto-periods (see col. 8, line 64-col. 9, line 24). Therefore, it would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to implement the control word as a key for a more secure data transfer because a control word a short term key and is generated by a random number generator (see col. 9, line 1-3 and 11-12).

In respect to claim 15, Son and Fruehauf disclose the method of claim 1. Son and Fruehauf do not disclose wherein the primary CAP provides a program identifier to the at least one secondary CAP to inform the at least one secondary CAP that the first CA data associated with the at least one data service. However, Pinder discloses a packet identifier (PID) that are carrying information for a given subcategory will have a same

PID (see col. 19, lines 9-40). It would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to incorporate Pinder's teaching of packet identifier with Son's teaching of packet transferring between primary CAP and secondary CAP in order to ensure that CA data sent from the primary CAP is corresponds to the correct data service being transferred (see col. 19, lines 11-16). In respect to claim 17, Son and Fruehauf disclose the method of claim 1. Son and Fruehauf do not disclose but Pinder discloses wherein the first and second CA data are provided to a message insertion subsystem out-of-band from the encrypted data service to form said data stream (see col. 7, lines 26-55). It would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to combine the teaching of Son's first and second CA data from the encrypted data service to form a data stream with the teaching of Pinder sending out-of-band for the benefit of providing a wider distribution of channel (i.e. Internet) (see col. 8, lines 47-49).

In respect to claim 25, Son and Fruehauf disclose the method of claim 1. Son and Fruehauf do not explicitly disclose but Pinder discloses wherein the first CA data comprises entitlement control messages (ECM) (see col. 4, lines 14-36). It would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to incorporate the entitlement control message taught by Pinder with Son's secure program data transmission between first and second CAP for a more secure data protection because contain information needed to decrypt the encrypted portion of the

***Allowable Subject Matter***

6. Claims 21-23 are objected to as being dependent upon a rejected base claim, but would be allowable if rewritten in independent form including all of the limitations of the base claim and any intervening claims.

The following is a statement of reasons for the indication of allowable subject matter:

In respect to claim 21, prior art does not teach method claim 20, comprising the further steps of:

Retaining a copy of the first data stream at the primary CAP;

Filtering at the primary CAP, the second data stream that is returned from the at least one secondary CAP to recover the second CA data; and

Combining the recovered second CA data with the retained copy of the first data stream to form said data stream that is provided to the user terminals.

In respect to claim 22, prior art does not teach the method of claim 20, comprising the further steps of :

Retaining a copy of the first data stream to determine a deviation therebetween.

In respect to claim 23, prior art does not teach the method of claim 22, comprising the further step of:

If the deviation is detected, using the retained copy of the first data stream, which does not contain the second CA data, to form said data stream that is provided to the user terminals.  
associated instant data (see col. 4, lines 29-31).

### ***Conclusion***

7. The prior art made of record and not relied upon is considered pertinent to applicant's disclosure.

-Wasilewski et al. Discloses a conditional access system service for cable and television system.

-Aras et al. Discloses a method and apparatus for monitoring audio visual materials presented to a subscriber.

-Deiss discloses a conditional access filter as for a packet video signal inverse transport system.

-Stockton et al. Discloses a mutichannel radio frequency transmission system to deliver wideband digital data into independent sectorized service areas.

-Balachandran discloses a system and method for providing high-speed local telecommunication access.

-Colligan discloses a selective renewable encryption for secure distribution of video on demand.

-Heer et al. discloses encrypting method and apparatus enabling multiple access for multiple services and multiple transmission modes over a broadband communication network.

8. Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Tongoc Tran whose telephone number is (703) 305-7690. The examiner can normally be reached on 8:30-5:00 M-F.

Art Unit: 2134

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Gregory A. Morse can be reached on (703) 308-4789. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is (703) 746-7240.

Any inquiry of a general nature or relating to the status of this application or proceeding should be directed to the receptionist whose telephone number is (703)305-9600.

Examiner Tongoc Tran  
Art Unit: 2134

TT

November 13, 2003

*Matthew B. Smithers*  
MATTHEW SMITHERS  
PRIMARY EXAMINER  
*Art Unit 2134*